REMARKS

Claims 1-27 are pending, of which claims 1, 10, and 19 are independent claims.

Claim 1-27 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stupek (US Patent No. 5,586,304) in view of Kullick (US Patent No. 5,764,992).

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 10, 11, 15, 16, 19, 20, and 24, 25 are amended, and, thus, claims 1-27 remain pending for reconsideration, which is respectfully requested.

No new matter has been added in this Amendment. The foregoing rejections are hereby traversed.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

The independent claims 1, 10, and 19 are amended to further emphasize the patentably distinguishing features of the present invention by reciting a program moving indicated by a user, in which the information that is copied & deleted during the program moving comprises copying the program, additional installed functions, and optional settings. In particular, in contrast to Stupek and Kullick, either alone or combined, the present claimed invention provides:

> ... a moving part moving the program according to the destination address information indicated by the user, wherein said moving part comprises:
>
> a copying part retrieving the current address information corresponding to said definition name uniquely assigned to the user-indicated destination address information included in said control information in accordance with said definition information and copying all information, which is stored at a current address indicated by the current address information, and comprises the program, additional installed functions information, and optional settings information, in accordance with the destination address information; ... (amended independent claim 1).

A benefit of the present claimed invention is that the user does not have to redo the user's optional changes, e.g., font size, line spacing, etc., or reinstall any additional functions after indicating moving the application program to a destination location, because the optional settings and additional functions are automatically copied to the destination location. Support for the claim amendments can be found, for example, on p. 13, lines 21-24; and p. 18, lines 1-15, of the present Application.

Neither Stupek nor Kullick discloses or suggests the present invention's user directed movement of an installed application program to a destination location as claimed. In particular,

Stupek's and Kullick's resource upgrading does not perform the present claimed invention's *"moving* the program *according to the destination address information indicated by the user,"* in which the moving comprises "retrieving the current address information corresponding to said definition name uniquely assigned to the user-indicated destination address information included in said control information in accordance with said definition information and *copying all information, which is stored at the current address and comprises the program, additional installed functions information, and optional settings information"* (amended claim 1, emphasis added).

Further, there is no motivation disclosed or suggested by Stupek and Kullick to modify Stupek and Kullick, and it would not be obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the Stupek and Kullick systems, to achieve the present claimed invention, because Stupek and Kullick disclose resource upgrading, and Stupek and Kullick are silent on the present claimed invention's *"moving* the program *according to the destination address information indicated by the user ... said moving comprises: copying all information, which is stored at the current address and comprises the program, additional installed functions information, and optional settings information* (amended independent claim 1).

11

Therefore, in contrast to Stupek and Kullick, the present invention as recited in amended independent claims 1, 10, and 19, using claim 1 as an example, provides:

1.   (CURRENTLY AMENDED) An information processor comprising:

a control information retrieving part retrieving control information that is used to execute a program, wherein *said control information comprises:*

current address information indicating a current address where the program is stored in a storage device; and

definition information including at least one destination address information related to the program and including at least one definition name uniquely assigned to the destination address information;

a destination defining part *defining the destination address information that is indicated by a user* and a location different from the current address where the program is stored;

a moving part moving the program according to the destination address information indicated by the user, wherein said moving part comprises:

a copying part retrieving the current address information corresponding to said definition name uniquely assigned to the user-indicated destination address information included in said control information in accordance with said definition information and *copying all information, which is stored at the current address and comprises the program, additional installed functions information, and optional settings information, in accordance with the destination address information;* and

a deleting part *deleting all of the information stored at the current address including the program;* and

a control information changing part *changing the control information based on the destination address information* (amended ind. claim 1, emphasis added).

In view of the claim amendments and the remarks, withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-27 and allowance of claim 1-27 is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

Respectfully submitted,
STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: ___April 26, 2004___   By: _____

Mehdi D. Sheikerz
Registration No. 41,307

1201 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 434-1500

12